

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. DOX 1430
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.           | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/802,726  | 03/12/2001     | Peyman Hadizad       | ONS00181                      | 7690            |
| 75  | 590 07/24/2003 |                      |                               |                 |
| Robert D. Atkins ON Semiconductor, Patent Administration Dept - MD A230 P.O. Box 62890 Phoenix, AZ 85082-2890 |                |                      | EXAMINER SCHILLINGER, LAURA M |                 |
|   |                |                      |                               |                 |
|   |                |                      | ART UNIT                      | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   |                |                      |                               |                 |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003       | $\mathcal{X}$   |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | _ |
|---|---|---|---|
| Advisory Action   | 09/802,726  | HADIZAD ET AL.  |   |
| Advisory Action   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |
|   | Laura M Schillinger   | 2813  |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |   |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | void abandonment of this applica<br>) a timely filed amendment whicl<br>I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel   | ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in   |   |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |   |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | n |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR  |   |   |   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:   |   |   |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (  | see NOTE below);  |   |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | pelow);   |   |   |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or simplifying the  |   |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel   | ing a corresponding number of f   | inally rejected claims.   |   |
| NOTE: Applicant's amended claim language reg  | uires further search and considerat   | ion.  |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | tion(s):  |   |   |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would<br/>canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed amendment   |   |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:  |   | dered but does NOT place the  |   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY t  | o issues which were newly   |   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w  |   |   |   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) allowed: none.   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to: none.   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .  |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19-38.   |   |   |   |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp  | proved by the Examiner.   |   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme   | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | <u></u> .   |   |
| 10. Other:  | Call  | SWITCHEAD, JR.  |   |
|   | SUPERVISOR  | NY PATENT EXAMINEF. OGY CENTER 2800   | _ |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)